

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

docket MB 07-57 XM Merger Ab

11/1/2007 5:26:51 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to rmlassoc@optonline.net.

rmlassoc@optonline.net wrote on 11/1/2007 5:26:03 PM :

hello, i'm a small shareholder of sirius satellite stock...believe me, if this merger doesn't go thru it's going to be really hard on people like myself...i really don't understand what the hold-up is...these two companies are losing money...they can't exist alone...it's obvious to everyone...please pass this merger or alot of people like myself will be really hurt...thank you, bob.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
Ltr. #2007-11

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

docket MB 07-57 XM Merger Ab

10/11/2007 9:01:58 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to andrew.rader@navy.mil.

andrew.rader@navy.mil wrote on 10/10/2007 12:17:50 PM:.

Sir,

I just wanted to say that I support the merger and think it would benefit the little guy such as me... we use both of these networks overseas and it would be great if we had just one that did everything we wanted..

Thank you..

Andrew Rader
US NAVY

docket MB 07-57 XM Merger

Ab

10/30/2007 7:40:32 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to bulldoger689@yahoo.com.

bulldoger689@yahoo.com wrote on 10/29/2007 10:12:20 PM :

Jim Tierney (bulldoger689@yahoo.com) writes:

Dear Mr. Chairman Martin What is the problem with the sirius and xm merger? I have been a sirius member for 4 years and would love to have more selections on my radio. I may be wrong but it seems like there are bigger mergers going on without any problems...like some fuel companies...ect... thanks for your time sir and please take a look at this matter. Have a wonderful day.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

FILED/ACCEPTED

Docket MB 07-57
TSR44

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/28/2007 3:29:07 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to jbenincasa@gmail.com.

jbenincasa@gmail.com wrote on 9/28/2007 9:02:21 AM :

My name is Jeff Benincasa, and I would like to comment on the Sirius/XM merge. There has been a great deal of discussion regarding this issue. I am sure you are all smart enough to see that the majority of the objections are coming from those involved with traditional AM/FM radio. Those individuals would like to see satellite radio disappear, and they know the greatest chance of that happening is if the merger is blocked. I currently have Sirius in my car, and I am very excited about the merger. My product will get better, and, clearly there will be more flexibility with packages. A new option at \$6.95 already came out.

Satellite does compete with AM/FM in addition to the new HD Radio. Consumers have options and will continue to have options after the merger. Clearly, the most complaints are coming from those involved with AM/FM. If they didn't compete, they wouldn't be so involved.

This is such a great product, and I am concerned that without the merger, the product will not continue to get better. Please approve this merger! If you have any questions for me, please feel free to contact me at the number below.

Thank you for your time,

--
Jeffrey Benincasa
1245 Mass Ave #5
Arlington, MA 02476
404 664 4681

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket MB 07-57
TSR44

9/28/2007 8:52:26 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to matthew.mushro@gxs.com.

Matthew.Mushro@gxs.com wrote on 9/27/2007 5:32:53 PM :

Mr Copps:

Your comments today about the potential of your voting for the Sirius/XM merger are very disturbing. As one of the consumers for which you claim to be concerned, I must tell you that I have been anxiously awaiting this merger for some time.

Your comment, "I think localism, competition, diversity (in the media) have been seriously threatened." is misguided as far as this merger. I am a previous subscriber to XM who now is a subscriber to Sirius. For the most part, the two services are very similar. The major differences lie among a few of their high profile talent and their sports offerings.

As far as localism, we're talking about NATIONAL services who do not offer local programming and are probably not interested in it. That is why we have local terrestrial radio stations. Speaking of which, you should think about regulating terrestrial radio if localism is your concern. With large communications companies buying radio stations across the nation and in the same market, it is difficult to tune in to your local terrestrial radio station without hearing national shows like Sean Hannity, Rush Limbaugh, ESPN and Fox Sports. Why are they not serving their local markets if this is such a critical need? These are also the same companies that should be offering more diversity in their local market. Instead they are concerned about national satellite radio companies taking away their advertising dollars, in turn recognizing the fact that they are indeed direct competitors of XM and Sirius.

This merger is a great benefit to me and all consumers of portable and mobile entertainment. Personally, I would love to be able to listen to baseball with my Sirius subscription. My wife would love to hear Oprah. I would welcome the opportunity to pay LESS for a basic subscription if that's what I desire. I would love to pay a la carte instead having 75 stations I pay for but don't listen to. Consumers will also benefit from the improvements in terrestrial radio that the NAB and its constituents will be forced to give consumers due to a more serious competitor in a combined Sirius/XM.

The fact that the NAB has spent millions upon millions of dollars in lobbying, proves that they are indeed competitors to satellite radio, as well as all other digital media such as iPods and internet radio. If the NAB is successful in its lobbying efforts, it will truly be a sad indictment of the political process in the United States. Satellite radio is certainly not a necessity and certainly does not deserve more time and scrutiny than mergers like Exxon/Mobil, BellSouth/AT&T, and Time Warner/AOL. All of which had potential impact to far more consumers and more serious impact than satellite radio. Sadly enough, this is what happens in our political process when big companies start throwing around big dollar in lobbying efforts to protect their own interest. The NAB certainly does not care about the good of the consumer. Hopefully, you are truly concerned about consumers and will allow us some joy and entertainment in this issue that pales among the issues that the country really needs to address.

Sincerely,

Matt Mushro

Docket MB 07-57
TSR44

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

10/2/2007 11:06:58 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to kuj111@aol.com.

kuj111@aol.com wrote on 10/1/2007 4:21:36 PM :

John P. Kujawski 111 (kuj111@aol.com) writes:

Dear Chairman Martin,

I am writing to you to voice my strong support for the proposed merger of Sirius and XM. I have 4 subscriptions to Sirius and listen frequently to XM. The problem lies in that I love baseball and football and spend a lot of time in my vehicle and listen at work exclusively to satellite radio due to its superior service over terrestrial radio. I would like to listen to all sports and if they combined the service would be that much better plus they can stop beating each other up over talent, hence making the playing field more equal. I have no vested interest in this other than a consumer point of view. Please vote in favor of this merger. Thank You John P. Kujawski

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 192.104.54.5
Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5

Docket MB 07-57

TSR44

10/1/2007 12:55:33 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to william@skyhook-art.com.

william@skyhook-art.com wrote on 10/1/2007 12:55:10 PM :

Commissioner Copps,

I am writing after reading your comments about the Sirius/XM merger. As a private citizen, I urge you to change your position and vote FOR the merger.

The merger would help continue a service that is vital to many of us as an alternative to local radio, ipods and internet radio. Without this merger there would be a real likelihood that neither Sirius or XM will exist in the near future.

Their demise would do nothing for consumers of audio programming. There are many competing formats to satellite radio and they have spent millions of dollars lobbying the FCC to stop this deal. The NAB is hoping to consolidate their power by defeating the merger in hopes of advancing their hold over what can be heard on the air.

The merger would add to those listeners, either on XM or Sirius, by giving access to all the programming on both services to those only subscribing to one or the other.

Ipods, broadcast radio and internet radio are all in competition with the satellite broadcasts. If Sirius and XM go out of business due to the expense of satellite advertising wars who benefits except the NAB and broadcast radio. They already have a death-grip on what is heard over the air. The quality and diversity of what is available exhibits the clear need for a strong satellite service. That can only be accomplished by the approval of the merger.

Thanks for your time.

William Lombardo

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket MB 07-57
TSR44

10/15/2007 8:54:20 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to deltajjj@bellsouth.net.

deltajjj@bellsouth.net wrote on 10/15/2007 8:53:52 PM :

Please allow XM and Sirius merge. I would pay \$50.00 for the service. I subscribe to both services and have two units in two cars. It is a mess.

Seems that an agreement to let subscribers select just the channels that like would allow prices to stay low. Some kind of agreement that prices

Could only increase as they relate to the industry as a whole. PLEASE allow. I am a Greenwood City Councilman in Greenwood, Mississippi.

My daughters have ipods, iphones, CD players, FM and AM, and now digital radio, internet radio, XM and Sirius..Let them merge. I vote to allow the merger.

Johnny Jennings

Greenwood City Council

P.O. Box 10,000

Greenwood, Mississippi 38930

662 299 3400

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

10/5/2007 9:19:19 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to mfarrell@synergy.to.

MFarrell@synergy.to wrote on 10/4/2007 11:01:42 AM :

I would just like to put in view at this point. It seems so plain to me, but it still seems to be a question. Letting the merger between XM and Sirius would increase their ability to compete in the market place, not monopolize it. They maybe the only two satellite radio companies, but they compete with regular radio, all sorts of MP3 players, HD radio, and multiple others. As a Sirius subscriber, I have absolutely no fear that this merger would do anything to decrease my benefits. I believe that it will only benefit me as a subscriber. The continued delay in this merger decision is ridiculous. I am requesting that you complete the review process and allow this merger quickly.

Thank you,

Melanie Farrell

MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

*Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary*

10/1/2007 4:17:05 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to p10th@comcast.net.

P10TH@comcast.net wrote on 10/1/2007 4:16:39 PM :

Why does the Chairman allow a Commissioner like Copp to shoot off his mouth about the Sirius/XM Merger? Especially before PUSH and Jesse Jackson. That is actionable if his words hurt the merger. I would want Sirius/XM to sue the FCC for prejudicing the vote. The guy is an obvious Dem and in the pocket of the NAB. Dan Silvers p10th@comcast.net

MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

10/2/2007 11:10:13 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to segalteach@yahoo.com.

segalteach@yahoo.com wrote on 9/30/2007 10:38:45 AM :

Dear Chairman,

I am emailing you to ask you not to let Sirius and XM merge.

Sirius is already using unfair and wrong business practices.

I have been a Sirius subscriber for the past two years. I bought the original Sportster when I signed on. I also bought the boombox.

Just this past week the unit began to fail. I called and they determined that it was broken and I would have to buy a new one.

Unfortunately, they stopped making the original Sportster. Also, I was told that I would have to buy a whole new boombox and car adapter.

To me, it's unfair that they would just completely stop selling their model. They must have known that people like me would have their radios malfunction, and then they stick us with having to pay \$250 plus to get a whole new thing.

I just don't trust these people at all.

Thank you,

Scott Segal

MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/27/2007 8:33:21 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to thedivas@juno.com.

thedivas@juno.com wrote on 9/26/2007 6:30:44 PM :

Dear Commissioners,

I have heard on the news that you have been innundated with form letters asking you to disallow the Sirius/XM merger. This letter is most definitely not a form letter. I am writing in support of the merger. Like those who oppose it, I am personally motivated to take this stance. I have stock in Sirius in my retirement portfolio, and would like to see my investment grow, not dissolve into the ether. Those who oppose the merger are similarly motivated by money. I guarantee you that the vast majority of "dissenters" to this merger are those who have financial positions in so-called "terrestrial" radio, in some shape or form. Is it fair to ask you to side with me over them? No. Is it fair for them to ask you to side with them over me? No. So, what you must do is make your decision based upon facts, not emotions. Does it not make sense to you that satellite radio is much like cable TV was in its infancy? Doesn't it also make sense that the satellite radio venture is just another form of entertainment in an industry that offers a vast array of choices, from iPods and other mp3 and mp4 players, to video game players, to DVDs, etc. Between my daughter and myself, we own two computers, 2 televisions, a big screen with DVD projector, another DVD player/recorder, several CD players, several mp3 players, 2 VHS machines, a stereo turntable, a cassette player, various terrestrial radios, 2 satellite radios, an X-Box, a Nintendo DS, two Game-Boys, a karaoke machine, and a Playstation 2. I'm sure I'm forgetting something, but the point is the time you are taking over deliberating this merger and the arguments that are being put forth against it are farcical. Show some pride and backbone and put an end to the nonsense. Please approve the Sirius/XM merger today.

Thank you,
Carol S. Ward

Docket MB 07-57

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV 15 2007

Brown@www.fcc.gov.akadns.net wrote on 10/1/2007 12:15:08 AM :

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Steven (Brown) writes:

Dear Chairman Martin, I'm writing in regards to the proposed merger between Sirius and XM. I'm just an everyday consumer who is requesting that you allow the merger with plenty of oversight. I subscribed to XM radio specifically to hear NASCAR broadcasting. Now, the rights to NASCAR broadcasting are with Sirius. The NAB keep saying the rights of consumers will be hurt if you allow the merger. The rights of consumers are being hurt by allowing broadcasting rights to go back and forth. If I want to hear NASCAR races, I now have to subscribe to Sirius, buy all new equipment, pick a new payment plan, etc, etc. If you allow the merger, I will have many more choices in programming as well as my beloved NASCAR. I do not know if you would be able to build in some type of oversight in assuring that rates don't go through the roof either if you allow them to merge.

Thank you for your time,
Steve Brown
Redlands, CA.

ld